RFP-24-01 Submission Evaluation Criteria

Technical Review (80%)						
Criteria	**Description**	**Points**	**0-5 Points**	**6-10 Points**	**11-15 Points**	**16-20 Points**
Clarity and Feasibility of the Proposed Approach	Evaluate if the approach is clearly described and realistically feasible, especially when multiple project elements are involved.	20	Proposal is extremely vague or infeasible, with little to no clear explanation or realistic approach to managing multiple project elements.	Proposal provides some clarity but includes significant feasibility challenges or lacks detailed consideration of the approach.	Proposal is reasonably clear and feasible, with a well-thought-out approach that addresses most aspects of the project elements.	Proposal is very clear and feasible, demonstrating a comprehensive and realistic approach to all necessary project elements.
Innovation and Expertise in Project Design	Assess the level of innovation in the approach and the demonstrated expertise of the project team.	20	Proposal shows no innovation and lacks evidence of expertise; design is basic or generic with no unique features.	Proposal has minimal innovation and limited expertise; some project design elements are better than basic.	Proposal displays moderate innovation and good expertise; project design is competent with several notable features.	Proposal is highly innovative and demonstrates strong expertise; project design includes advanced features and effective solutions.
Project Timeline and Milestone Feasibility	Consider the realism and practicality of the proposed timeline and milestones. *Please note that projects that plausibly propose to quickly deploy part or whole of their work will be prioritized in the evaluation process.*	20	Timeline and milestones are highly unrealistic, lacking a feasible plan for quick deployment; significantly impractical for project scope.	Timeline and milestones show slow deployment, with practical concerns about speed and alignment with project goals.	Timeline and milestones are reasonable, proposing a steady deployment pace; project has a clear plan with some potential for earlier deployment.	Timeline and milestones are well-constructed, promoting a faster deployment of parts or the whole project efficiently and realistically.
Cost-effectiveness and Transparency in Pricing Structure	Evaluate the proposal for cost-effectiveness and the clarity of the pricing structure.	20	Pricing is unclear and/or not cost-effective, with little justification for costs relative to the project scope.	Pricing structure has minor transparency issues and/or moderate cost-effectiveness; some costs are justified, but others lack clear rationale.	Pricing is mostly transparent and/or cost-effective; most costs are well-justified and aligned with project needs.	Pricing structure is highly transparent and cost-effective; costs are clearly justified and provide good value.
Technical Review Total Points		80				

Vendor Review (20%)						
Criteria	**Description**	**Points**	**0 Points**	**1-4 Points**	**5-8 Points**	**9-10 Points**
Quality of Previous Work	Evaluate the quality of the vendor's past projects to assess their capability and output standards.	10	No previous work available for review, or the available work is of poor quality with significant deficiencies.	Limited previous work available, showing basic competence but lacking distinction or high quality.	Some previous work available, of good quality that meets expected standards and includes elements of excellence.	Ample previous work available, demonstrating very high quality and consistent excellence above standard requirements.
Vendor's Relevant Experience in Supporting Workforce Development or Other Similar Initiatives	Assess the vendor's experience and success in workforce development or similar fields, indicating their understanding and capability in these areas.	10	Vendor has no experience in workforce development or similar initiatives, or their involvement has been minimal and largely ineffective.	Vendor has limited experience with some involvement in workforce development or related projects but shows only basic impact or success.	Vendor has moderate experience with a solid track record of contributing positively to workforce development or similar initiatives.	Vendor has extensive experience and a proven record of significant, successful contributions to workforce development projects.
Vendor Review Total Points		20				